Attendance

Present: Jenna Omassi, Angela, Delaney, Veronica, Mark, Tanner, Sheldon, Marjan (late)


Regrets: Lauren Telford, Jeff Pea

Recording Secretary: Jenna Omassi

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 12:33 pm

Agenda Items

1. Approval of Agenda
   Move: Angela, Second: Mark

2. Approval of Minutes
   LPC Minutes 2014-11-11
   LPC Minutes 2014-11-18
   LPC Minutes 2015-1-15
   LPC Minutes 2015-1-22
   Move: Veronica, Second: Angela
   Action: Jenna to send to Joanne

3. Policy Review Update
   Action: Jenna to send Delaney Policy, work on Veronica’s
   Tanner: Purchasing, cannot work on until New SUB
4. SSM Hiring
   Jenna: This is the last time we will be looking at the SSM hiring. Is there any discussion about the changes?
   Sheldon: Send off to Joanne, ED hires with the recommendation of the Hiring Committee

5. Build AMS Update (5 minutes)
   Jenna: I have asked for changes to be made according to LPC’s suggestions.
   Veronica: Constituency involvement is minimal at best.
   Tanner: That is being addressed in the new draft, and adding to the consultation, sending that along to LPC.

6. VSEUS Organizing Committee Update (5 minutes)
   Jenna: The VSEUS Constitution & Code will be sent to Council for Feb 25th
   Sheldon: Are there still honorary members in their Code? Do they have the same rights as active members?
   Jenna: They will be removing honorary members from their Code.

7. Security of Council Chambers (10 minutes)
   Jenna: This has been brought back to LPC again, after Council sent it back and we discussed for many meetings. Council wanted the Code to be more concrete in order to ensure that the chair cannot abuse their power to removal individuals from council chambers, but we have realized after speaking about it for a while that it is difficult to clarify.
   Sheldon: Not clear, we had talked about challenging the chair, would it have to take a motion of council to remove someone?
   Jenna: Should it be different for councillors and guests?
   Tanner: I don’t think any chair would eject someone from council because they disagreed with them.
   Sheldon: Can we back up? There has never been an issue we might have to deal with. No one has ever disrupted a council meeting.
   Jenna: This is putting in place a mechanism for dealing with disruptions, in case this should happen or a security concern comes up.
   Angela: Do we have to put in that you have to challenge the chair? Since this is something that should happen based on Robert’s Rules.
   Jenna: It might just make it more explicit. So add in challenging the chair?
   Sheldon: No distinction between members and non-members of council?
   Jenna: No.
   Action: Sheldon to send to council, add in challenging the chair.
   Move: Marjan, Second: Mark
8. **Musqueam Communication (5 minutes)**

Jenna: This policy has been created by the VP Academic & University Affairs office, with the Aboriginal Commissioner, in order to ensure that the society and staff within are all on the same page in terms of communication with the Musqueam and have knowledge of proper protocols. Any issues to address?

*Move: Veronica, Second: Marjan*

*Action: Sheldon to send Musqueam Policy*

9. **Sexual Assault Support Centre (10 minutes)**

Jenna: The SASC currently sits in Code right under AMS Services, but actually functions differently than the other services, with permanent staff and a specific mandate. As such, I have been working with Daniel (Executive Director), Ron (Student Services Manager) and Ashley (SASC Manager) to accurately depict SASC in Code. The changes that they would like to see include including the reporting structure of the SASC Manager (to the ED, rather than the SSM) and the mandate of the office, ensuring that exclusions are made to reflect the normative practice of the SASC.

Sheldon: So they are going to stay in the services?

Jenna: The only difference is that Article 4 (2) already makes an exception for them.

Sheldon: We already make an exceptions, so we could make another. Say that the SASC coordinator reports directly to the ED. The first paragraph under coordinator 4 (1) - would have to say that the SASC would function under the direction of the ED, not SASC. Would have to create notwithstanding clauses. But keep hiring in the SASC changes as a whole package.

Jenna: We also should be including the mandate of the SASC.

Sheldon: It is going to be a service still then? What is its classification?

Tanner: Sustainability & Arts Gallery also changing

Jenna: It will remain a service, Ashley (SASC Manager) has made it very clear that they would like to stay under the Services umbrella.

Jenna: What about the Sexual Assault Initiatives Fund?

Sheldon: We gave it to FinCom on the grounds they can administer funds. We did have a committee for it, but it never met. So we gave it to an existing commission. And we said SASC would be consulted.

Jenna: The professionals from the SASC are the one’s equipped with the knowledge to decide who should be receiving these funds. It would be a good idea to set up the Sexual Assault Initiatives Fund like the Sustainability Projects Funds and others, but we will have to look at Funds in general in the near future.
10. Student Court (30 minutes)

Jenna: We need to be making changes to Student Court code so that we can start to hire. These changes have been made with help from Veronica, scaling back the previous Code changes sent by Tanner.
Sheldon: Do we have to mention that the judges appoint a Chief Justice because it is already mentioned in bylaws?
Veronica: There is no mention in Code of the Chief Justice, so I wanted to mention it before.
Sheldon: Chief Justice has to be a third year law student. Then you are cutting out the Prima Peace committee later, so the officers of the court don’t need to be mentioned. Then the clerk of the court is a non-voting member, but we mentioned that the judges get to vote.
Veronica: There is no indication that they won’t be voting, so I wanted to clarify.
Sheldon: It doesn’t seem necessary to make these changes. Later in the code it says the judges vote. It is not worth spending more time on. We will leave it in. We cannot cut out that council from hiring (so keeping it). Next, putting in the Ombudsperson.
Tanner: The intent is because they have a little more experience with investigative procedures and would be a good advisor.
Sheldon: You could include the archivist and clerk of council then. The big thing is Article 3, giving the clerk a lot of power. Do we need this at all?
Veronica: If everything goes straight to the court, it is fine.
Tanner: We don’t really need it then.
Sheldon: Is there much vetting that needs to be done? So let’s remove it.
Jenna: Cutting article 4, 5 and 6. Which never really functioned.
Sheldon: Have to get rid of the Clerk of Court on Article 8 (1).
Jenna: Can we do an email vote to confirm the changes made, before Council next week?
Action: Sheldon to ask for placeholder for the Code and Jenna to send job descriptions for Student Court.
Jenna: Pending changes to names, any questions or concerns about job descriptions?
Move: Veronica, Second: Angela (LPC did not have quorum)

11. Vantage College (5 minutes)

Jenna: I just want to keep you all in the loop. We are currently working with Vantage College to set up their representation on AMS Council. With Anne (VP
Academic) and Ayush (International Students Commissioner), to set up focus groups with their students and figure out what model of representation they would like.

12. DAP & Unclassified Students (10 minutes)
   Jenna: With discussing Vantage College, we should also be considering DAP and Unclassified students.
   Angela: Can we contact them?
   Sheldon: The bigger issue is DAP, they are not a constituency exactly, we might have to amend bylaws, if we wanted to give them a voting seat on council. We could give them a voting seat if we change the bylaws. We just did so for Regent College and VST, you could do so for Vantage.
   Delaney: Question about unclassified students. Could they be put in the same umbrella/term?
   Jenna: It will be difficult to do, so let’s get creative.

13. Capital Projects Fund (10 minutes)
   Jenna: There have been some concerns brought up in regards to the many terms used in the fund.
   Sheldon: Adding or changing would be problematic.

Next Meeting

The next scheduled meeting is 12:30pm February 5, 2015 in SUB 266J

Adjourn

1. Moved Angela, Seconded Mark

There being no further business the meeting was adjourned at 1:40 pm