

6:07: Meeting is called to order

Agenda Adopted

Mintues Adopted

Executive Update:

Max:

- 1) Discussed I-9 with Execs. Drafted revision with Sheldon. Going to collaborate on Google Docs (Exec). Hopefully done before next GovComm.
- 2) Kuol sent I-8 to Dylan (revised investment). Changed review date to 4 years from 1. Changing investment practices every year is not sound investment strategy. Managing director advised this is more appropriate. This is only when it has to be reviewed, doesn't prevent people from changing it (e.g. if it was part of their platform). Policy was rearranged. Major changes are additions of Fossil Fuel Free, ESG. Raising equities from 30 to 35%. Feedback from committee: Fossil Fuel Free should be capitalized. Table should go back to 100% cash.

Motion: Dylan We changed word from land to territorial

Sheldon said why/

Max: Just of note, we should in the future requiring committees,

Sheldon: maybe AGM

Motion carries (unanimous)

Constituency Elections:

Max: HR Committee has not met since our last meeting. Marium is the executive on the committee and is away. Max will speak to Hannah about the EA role at or before next council.

HR Committee needs to send the position to the HR Department as soon as possible so we can interview towards the end of August. HR Committee needs to review the job description because the pay is still for 20 hours per week, while we know that the hours will not be that much for the whole year.

Dylan: On the A/B options presented in the Elections Committee recommendations, I think that option A provides for too many things to keep track of. Option B is better because it allows us to focus on specific things we care about.

Max: It appears that option A requires constituencies to have an elections committee which is not always the case.

Sheldon: That requirement is already in Code.

Max: An issue with not allowing appointment process that cannot include a person that is running doing the appointing is that people don't know if they're running when the elections committee is being appointed, since they submit their nomination paperwork to the committee. This doesn't seem enforceable. In theory it is a good idea. In practice it doesn't work. Also, often the only people who know what to do to run an election are constituency Councillors who we would bar from running.

Dylan: I think it might be a good idea to flatten the appeal process of constituencies.

Max: There's a problem with allowing constituency councils to rule on elections. That is why the AMS has an appeals committee. It would be sensible for the next step of appeal to be the AMS.

Dylan: I agree. Do we want to require that constituencies to have elections committees?

Max: No. We should require a chief electoral officer and recommend a committee. This recommendation could be made at AMS elections training.

I think we should cut [from code or from proposal] d), and the second half of a). If we're going laissez faire also get rid of i) g) and d).

I like the AMS EA having the power to determine when voting takes place

Evan: It's important for commerce to have our elections as early as we do because of our hiring process.

Max: How about a requirement that if you are using the online voting system the AMS EA can set date?

Dylan: That could apply to other rules as well especially administrative ones. If you want to use our system you must play by our rules, if not, you have more flexibility.