STUDENT COUNCIL MINUTES

********

Guests: Madeline Gustavson (AMS Elections Committee), Isabelle Ava-Pointon (AMS Elections Committee), Riya Talitha (Ubyssey), Angela O’Donnell (Ubyssey), Zak Vescera (Ubyssey), Tanner Davies (AMS staff), Jonathan Tomalty (AMS staff), Will Shelling (AMS staff), Julia Burnham (AMS staff), Rodney Little Mustache (Indigenous Committee), Laura Beaudry (Indigenous Committee), Riley Pascal (Indigenous Committee), Verukah Poirier (Indigenous Committee), Kaz Tyabji (Indigenous Committee), Alex Okrainetz (Independent), David Yang (AMS Elections), Joshua Azizi (Ubyssey), Emma Livingstone (Ubyssey), Daniel Lam (AUS & SUS Speaker), Kory Phan (member at large), Sharon Lin (member at large), Austin Chen (Dentistry Senator), Stuart Clarke (student), Halla Bertrand (AMS Elections Administrator), Abdul Alnaar (Senior Manager of Student Services), Keith Hester (Managing Director), Sheldon Goldfarb (Clerk of Council), Joanne Pickford (Admin Assistant)

A) Call to order

The meeting was called to order at 6:10 pm.

B) Territorial Acknowledgement:

- The Speaker acknowledged that the meeting was taking place on the traditional, ancestral, and unceded land of the Musqueam people, adding that learning happened on these lands long before the University was here.
- He encouraged Council to take the time to reflect on the reconciliation process.

C) Adoption of the agenda:

1. MOVED CHRIS HAKIM, SECONDED CHRIS GOODING:

   “That the agenda be adopted as presented.”

2. MOVED JEROME GODDARD, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

   “That the Grad Class Council motion from the Student Life Committee be struck from the agenda.”

   Jerome Goddard:
   - The committee is not ready to move this and did not approve the accompanying terms of reference for the Grad Class Subcommittee.

3. MOVED JEROME GODDARD, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

   “That the Grad Class Council motion from the Student Life Committee be struck from the agenda.”

   … No objections
4. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED YASH GURNANI:

“That the HR motion on Ceridian Dayforce be amended to add in the number $75,751.76.”

... No objections

5. MOVED RILEY TY:

“That the Fall Reading Break motion be moved down.”

... Not seconded

6. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED ANDY WU:

“That the agenda be amended in accordance with the amended agenda that was circulated.”

Max Holmes:
- There is an amended agenda in which we have put the most time pressing motions and the Fall Reading Break motion first.
- We’re considering the time of the staff who are present.
- Reports have also been removed to save time.

7. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED MAX HOLMES:

“That the amended agenda be amended to undelete reports but move them to the end of the agenda, before the Discussion Period.”

Dylan Braam:
- We do have an expectation that people report.
- It’s really a Code suspension requiring two-thirds to dispense with that.
- By moving them to the end of the agenda we can always adjourn or recess first.
- I have no faith in written reports.

8. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED MAX HOLMES:

“That the amended agenda be amended to undelete reports but move them to the end of the agenda, before the Discussion Period.”

... No objections

9. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED ANDY WU:

“That the agenda be amended in accordance with the amended agenda that was circulated and now amended further.”

... No objections

10. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED YASH GURNANI:

“That the elections presentation and motion be moved to after the U-Pass and fee review presentations.”
Cole Evans:
- The Elections Administrator has asked that this be moved to after 7 pm, which is when she will get here.

Bryan Starick:
- Why not just table it if she’s not here when it comes up?

11. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED YASH GURNANI:

“That the elections presentation and motion be moved to after the U-Pass and fee review presentations.”

... Withdrawn

12. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED YASH GURNANI:

“That new motions be added about the Grad Class fee.”

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- This wasn’t on the agenda because the committee had not voted on it, but now they have.

13. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED YASH GURNANI:

“That new motions be added about the Grad Class fee.”

... No objections

14. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED ARASH SHADKAM:

“That the Campus Vibe motion be amended to reflect the correct amount of $127,388.79.”

... No objections

15. MOVED CHRIS HAKIM, SECONDED CHRIS GOODING:

“That the agenda be adopted as amended.”

... Carried


D) Membership: Introductions, new members, declaration of vacancies:

Welcome to our new Members: Ada Mew (Pharmacy)

Vacancies on Council: Audiology, Dentistry, Journalism, Population & Public Health, Social Work, St. Mark’s, VST
Goodbyes:

E) Speaker’s Business (5 minutes):

The Speaker:

- Would like to strike a balance between giving people time to speak and getting through the agenda.

F) Statements from Students at Large (3 minutes each):

G) Consent Agenda Items

16. MOVED ________, SECONDED ________: 

“That the following consent items be accepted as presented:

Operations Committee minutes dated January 15, 2019 [SCD230-19]
Operations Committee minutes dated January 22, 2019 [SCD231-19]
HR Committee minutes dated January 18, 2019 [SCD232-19]
Student Life Committee minutes dated November 1, 2018
Student Life Committee minutes dated November 13, 2018
Student Life Committee minutes dated November 29, 2018

Jakob Gattinger asked to withdraw the Student Life Committee minutes from the Consent Agenda.

17. MOVED YASH GURNAI, SECONDED EVAN ZHOU:

“That the following consent items be accepted as presented:

Operations Committee minutes dated January 15, 2019 [SCD230-19]
Operations Committee minutes dated January 22, 2019 [SCD231-19]
HR Committee minutes dated January 18, 2019 [SCD232-19]


Abstained: Chris Hakim, Kevin Zhang

H) Presentations to Council and Accompanying Motions (10 minutes for presentation, 5 minute ? period):

New HR & Payroll system – Praneet Sandhu and Leslie Tulett [SCD233-19]

- Looking to bring in one system for HR, Payroll etc.
- Right now we have seven separate systems, and it’s a nightmare.
• To issue a job verification letter can take a day or two, when sometimes it’s critical to have one produced right away.
• Currently, information is everywhere, and lots of it is done by manual data entry, sometimes by multiple entry.
• What we’re looking for is a user friendly one-stop shop
• We considered three options, but one is for bigger work forces and not available to use.
• Of the other two, Ceridian and ADP, we went with Ceridian because it meets all our needs in one system, whereas ADP only partially meets them and is not one system.
• Ceridian’s annual cost is also much less, though its one-time implementation cost is higher.
• Ceridian’s annual cost: $79,029 ($11.92 per employee).
• ADP’s: $139,671 ($20.43 per employee).
• Implementation cost:
  o Ceridian $67,635
  o ADP $7,860.
• Ceridian also offers free service calls, whereas ADP charges.
• We’re currently paying $76,000 annually.
• Did two reference checks on Ceridian; both institutions we contacted were very supportive of Ceridian.
• Also checked its rating: it received 4.6 and 4.31 out of 5 from two rating services.
• Implementation costs will come from the Capital Projects Fund.
• Ongoing support/annual maintenance will come from the operating budget.

Questions
Bryan Starick:
• What does the $67,000 cover? Someone coming in?
• How future-proof is this? Will it still work in 5-10 years?
• Is Ceridian Canadian?

Praneet Sandhu:
• It’s not Canadian. It’s a U.S. company, but the data will be stored locally (in Burnaby).
• This is not a short-term solution; we are looking for something long-term.

Leslie Tulett:
• They release two upgrades a year.

Praneet Sandhu:
• As to what the implementation cost covers:
  o Replacing clocks.
  o Training.
  o Costs to software.
• Everything is done by them: they provide a core project team.

Yash Gurnani:
• In the changeover, will we have any overlap of costs?
Praneet Sandhu:
• We will pay for our current system only as long as we use it.

Jakob Gattinger:
• How long is the recurring price locked in for?

Praneet Sandhu:
• A minimum of three years.

18. MOVED YASH GURNANI, SECONDED EVAN ZHOU:

From the Finance Committee
“That on the recommendation of the Finance Committee Council approve $75,751.76 in funding out of the Capital Projects Fund for the purpose of funding the implementation costs of Ceridian Dayforce.”

Note: The balance in the Capital Projects Fund is $2,153,922.58; the balance available for expenditures is $200,000.

Note: Requires 2/3rds

Kuol Akuechbeny:
• The HR department and the Managing Director did a good job putting this package together.
• This is the money we need to cover the costs.

19. MOVED YASH GURNANI, SECONDED EVAN ZHOU:

From the Finance Committee
“That on the recommendation of the Finance Committee Council approve $75,751.76 in funding out of the Capital Projects Fund for the purpose of funding the implementation costs of Ceridian Dayforce.”

Note: The balance in the Capital Projects Fund is $2,153,922.58; the balance available for expenditures is $200,000.

Note: Requires 2/3rds

... Carried


Abstained: Jon Kew, Ada Mew
**Elections Timeline – Halla Bertrand (Elections Administrator)**

- Constituency Elections:
  - Overseen them, mostly in February and March. CUS was last week.
  - Been adding Constituencies to Simply Voting (Library-Archival, Medicine, Nursing).

- AMS Elections:
  - Nominations close February 15.
  - Send me campaign material by February 18.
  - Blurbs have to be sent in a bit later in February.
  - Voting period: March 4-8.
  - Five different social events, including two Meet and Greet’s, two Coffee with Commuters events, and the Unofficial Results Party.
  - Three debates, all moderated by the Ubyssey.
  - Rule changes:
    - Added reimbursement for SLFS races.
    - Added paid Polling Officers.
    - Polling Officers and Poll Clerks will be cleaning up posters (have hired all the clerks).
    - Postering will be a permissible form of campaigning.
  - Conflict of interest rules have been explained in the Candidates Handbook: These are meant to keep relationships with the Elections Committee professional.
  - Your job:
    - Please vote.
    - Encourage your constituents to vote.
    - When voting opens, please vote immediately so I can see if all Constituencies can vote on Simply Voting.
    - Let me know if you need material to promote the election.

**Questions**

Bryan Starick:
- There is an elections motion coming next: would you like to speak to this?

Halla Bertrand:
- The hiring process was smooth.
- These will be great candidates.

Rodney Little Mustache:
- When last year’s results came out, they were hard to understand.
- Are you going to simplify the results this year?

Halla Bertrand:
- The results are initially unofficial: I will simply declare the winners.
- Later I hope to publish fuller information in as accessible a form as possible.
- Vote calculations are done by the Condorcet method, which is notoriously difficult to understand, but I will provide information on how it works.
20. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“That Isabelle Ava-Pointon and David Yang be appointed to the Elections Committee as Polling Officers until March 15th, 2019."

... Carried


Fee Review – Kuol Akuechbeny

- We have 23 AMS fees:
  - 7 internal ones (Capital Projects, Clubs Benefit, etc.)
  - The Grad Class fee
  - 7 to do with various groups (Resource Groups, WUSC, CiTR, etc.)
  - 5 that go to the University (Athletics, bursaries, etc.)
  - Constituency fees
  - U-Pass
  - Ubyssey.

- Last year there was an attempt to pass a referendum to put a system in place to review fees every three years, but there was a strong No campaign against this and it didn’t go through.
- This year after consultation we introduced financial reports from the seven various groups.
- We are also working with UBC to get reports from the University on the AMS fees that go to them.
- Two issues have emerged from our fee review: the SASC fee and the Grad Class fee.
- Most of the fees (21 out of 23) are fine.
- Two of them are not:
  - The SASC fee is over utilized: there’s not enough; SASC needs more money.
  - The Grad Class fee, at $7 from each graduating student, is too much. It produces more money than is needed. It doesn’t do much, and Constituencies have their own grad fees.

SASC fee – Kuol Akuechbeny

- Long-term projections:
  - The budget will increase, partly from labour costs.
  - Also, money is needed for SAIF, the fund for student-initiated projects.
  - In 2017-18 SASC was $125,000 over budget.
  - This year it is $176,000 over.
  - If the SASC fee stays the same at $3.63, we will be well short.
  - Originally what was proposed was an increase to $8.50.
  - We’ve since worked more on the numbers and now think we need $9.30.
  - We’re expecting an expansion at SASC, an introduction of legal advocacy, more outreach, more staff.
This will also allow us to replenish the SAIF fund.

Action Item for Council: Endorse the SASC referendum question.

21. MOVED ANDY WU, SECONDED ADA MEW:

“That time for the presentation be extended by 15 minutes.”

... No objections

Questions on SASC fee
Yash Gurnani:
• Are we going to provide information to students about the fee increases?

Kuol Akuechbeny:
• Yes, we’ve had meetings to prepare the information.
• The reasons for the increases will be available.

Marium Hamid:
• We are preparing an FAQ about why, alternative models, sustainability, and what happens if this doesn’t work.

Ada Mew:
• If we don’t meet quorum, is there a backup plan?

Kuol Akuechbeny:
• That’s very difficult.
• Basically, do another referendum.

Marium Hamid:
• We would have to go back to the student body to ask about next steps and consult Council.

Gurshabad Singhera:
• Why $9.30?

Kuol Akuechbeny:
• We looked at the costs and future plans (increased staff and outreach).
• We currently have a $40,000 shortfall to cover.
• The $9.30 would cover that and allow for expansion.

Marium Hamid:
• $8.50 would cover current operations, but not expansion.
• If there’s expansion, we’d need more.
• We need more people to provide training.
• If we want to add legal advocacy, this would cover that too.
• This is a safe number to cover expansion and contingency.

Tanner Gjosund:
• Why not $9.50?
Kuol Akuechbeny:
- Our students support SASC and might pay $9.50, but based on what is needed, we felt $9.30 was a good number, providing the funding that SASC needs.

Max Holmes:
- It started as a question between $9 and $9.50.
- Wanted to recognize current need but also provide enough for expansion.
- Want to support initiatives out of the SAIF.
- And perhaps do more Indigenous programming: bring in an elder.
- On the other hand, we also don’t want to go too far beyond current needs.

**Grad Class Fee – Kuol Akuechbeny**
- This fee has been at $7 (for graduating students) since 1961.
- It brings in about $52,000 per year.
- The current balance is $162,000: There is an excess that has accumulated over the years.
- We’re trying to track down what is owed for past projects.
- It’s been used for donating art, cash, and trees.
- Been discussing reducing this and perhaps using the money for another fund, e.g., the Student Initiatives Fund.
- Or we could just reduce it, say to $3. The Finance Committee voted for this.
- Or we could do nothing.

**Questions**
Kevin Zhang:
- How is the adjudication process for the Student Initiatives Fund carried out?
- If the money for that fund is increased, will there be a lowering of standards?

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- The Finance Committee did not approve adding money to the Student Initiatives Fund.
- The fund is for individual students who are not members of a club.
- Over the years is has not been used that much.
- This past year we increased the cap on individual grants, and more people have been using it.
- I thought it might help to put in more money.

Jeanie Malone:
- How will the question look on the ballot?
- Will there be one question for both the SASC fee and the Grad Class fee?
- Last year a combined question was not successful.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- This time the SASC question will not be connected to anything else; it will be a separate question.

Yash Gurnani:
- If there’s a Student Initiatives fee, how would that work?
Kuol Akuechbeny:
- The idea was for a 38-cent fee to be paid by everybody, unlike the Grad fee, which is paid only by graduating students.

22. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED BRYAN STARICK:

“Be it resolved that in accordance with Bylaw 4(1)(a), AMS Council direct the President to call a referendum to lower AMS Grad Class fees.

Be it further resolved that the referendum question read as follows:

I support a decrease in my Graduating Class fee from the current $7.00 to $3.00 upon graduation, to begin in September 2019.”

Note 1: All money raised through the Grad Class Fee will be deposited in the Grad Class Fund and may be used only for the purpose of supporting Grad Class as defined in the AMS Code of Procedure. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for Grad Class.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- Given that we’ll be increasing the SASC fee, it seemed like a good idea to give the student body an opportunity to pay a little less in another area.

Bryan Starick:
- Can we clarify how the question will appear on the ballot?
- Will the note appear on the ballot?
- And to make it unbiased, can we change it to say “Do you support” instead of “I support?”

23. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED TYRA PHILLIPS:

“That the motion be amended so that the question will read:

Do you support a decrease in the Graduating Class fee from the current $7.00 to $3.00 upon graduation, to begin in September 2019.

Note 1: All money raised through the Grad Class Fee will be deposited in the Grad Class Fund and may be used only for the purpose of supporting Grad Class as defined in the AMS Code of Procedure. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for Grad Class.”

… No objections

24. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED BRYAN STARICK:

“Be it resolved that in accordance with Bylaw 4(1)(a), AMS Council direct the President to call a referendum to lower AMS Grad Class fees.

Be it further resolved that the referendum question read as follows:

Do you support a decrease in the Graduating Class fee from the current $7.00 to $3.00 upon graduation, to begin in September 2019.
Note 1: All money raised through the Grad Class Fee will be deposited in the Grad Class Fund and may be used only for the purpose of supporting Grad Class as defined in the AMS Code of Procedure. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for Grad Class.”

… Carried

For (26): Kuol Akuechbeny, Jennifer Cheng, Cole Evans, Oliver Frayne, Ian Gilbert (proxy for Gareth Clegg), Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Chris Gooding, Yash Gurnani, Chris Hakim, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Tyra Philips (proxy for Kate Burnham), Jon Kew, Ada Mew, Nevena Rebic, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Darren Touch, Riley Ty, Christina Wiesmann, Andy Wu, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang

25. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“That Council endorse a yes vote on this referendum question.”

… Carried


26. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED JENNIFER CHENG:

“That the agenda be amended to move the motion on the title of the Elections Administrator up to now.”

Cole Evans:

- Halla would like to be present for this motion.

27. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED JENNIFER CHENG:

“That the agenda be amended to move the motion on the title of the Elections Administrator up to now.”

… No objections

28. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY:

“That the agenda be amended to move up the SASC motion.”

… Failed for lack of seconder

29. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED YASH GURNANI:

From the Human Resources Committee

“That the title of Elections Administrator be changed to Chief Electoral Officer, effective May 1, 2019.”
Note: This will require a Code amendment.
Note: Requires 2/3rds

Cole Evans:
- The HR Committee felt that this title fit the committee better: all the other positions on the committee are called Officers.
- It’s just a language-based change.
- We think it sounds cooler.
- There’s no change to the role.
- Effective May 1.

30. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED YASH GURNANI:

From the Human Resources Committee
“That the title of Elections Administrator be changed to Chief Electoral Officer, effective May 1, 2019.”

Note: This will require a Code amendment.
Note: Requires 2/3rds
... Carried

For (26): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Cole Evans, Oliver Frayne, Ian Gilbert (proxy for Gareth Clegg), Tanner Gjosund, Chris Gooding, Yash Gurnani, Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Tyra Philips (proxy for Kate Burnham), Ada Mew, Nevena Rebic, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Darren Touch, Riley Ty, Christina Wiesmann, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang

Abstained: Jerome Goddard, Max Holmes, Jon Kew, Andy Wu

Council took a recess from 7:57 pm to 8:05 pm.

31. MOVED JEROME GODDARD, SECONDED JOELLA ALLEN:

“That the motion on the Indigenous fee referendum be moved up to now.”

Jerome Goddard:
- We don’t want to keep them all night.
- It’s important to get this done.

32. MOVED JEROME GODDARD, SECONDED JOELLA ALLEN:

“That the motion on the Indigenous fee referendum be moved up to now.”
... No objections

33. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED DYLAN BRAAM:

From the Indigenous Committee
“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:
Do you support the AMS establishing a fee of $0.95 a year to contribute to an Indigenous Student Fund, as of September 2019?

Note 1: All money raised through this fee will be deposited in the Indigenous Student Fund and may be used only for Indigenous student support and initiatives. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for Indigenous student support and initiatives.

Note 2: The $0.95 fee will be indexed to inflation, increasing each year in accordance with any increase in BC CPI, beginning in September 2020.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the Indigenous Student Fund referendum question.

Kaz Tyabji:
- There is no dedicated funding for the Indigenous Committee.
- This is the territory of the Musqueam people, but there are all sorts of Indigenous groups on campus.
- This funding would allow us to do education and communication, tell origin stories, and create a warm and inviting Indigenous space in the Nest.

Max Holmes:
- The number was chosen by the Indigenous Committee at a meeting they held.
- The referendum has been proposed by them.
- This is something the AMS should have had a long time ago.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- This is for a good cause.
- SFU has a fee like this.

Andy Wu:
- Can someone from the committee elaborate on events, initiatives, or projects this fund will be used for?

Verukah Poirier:
- The committee plans to use the fund for events on campus, including a welcoming pow-wow.
- We will work with other Indigenous organizations on campus.
- The fund will also be used for the space within the Nest, a place that will allow Indigenous students to do personal events.

34. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED DYLAN BRAAM:

From the Indigenous Committee
"Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you support the AMS establishing a fee of $0.95 a year to contribute to an Indigenous Student Fund, as of September 2019?"
Note 1: All money raised through this fee will be deposited in the Indigenous Student Fund and may be used only for Indigenous student support and initiatives. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for Indigenous student support and initiatives.

Note 2: The $0.95 fee will be indexed to inflation, increasing each year in accordance with any increase in BC CPI, beginning in September 2020.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the Indigenous Student Fund referendum question.”

... Carried


U-Pass Referendum – Cristina Ilnitchi

- Before 2010 SFU and UBC co-piloted the U-Pass program.
- Starting in 2010, there’s been a U-Pass program for all postsecondary institutions in BC.
- We’ve had several contracts since then.
- In 2016 a two-year one, then an extension in 2018.
- The U-Pass Advisory Committee, including student unions across Vancouver, negotiated with TransLink and the government.
- We secured a big victory: stability and affordability for five years, until April 30, 2025.
- It will stay at $41 until May 2020, when it will go to $42.50, with annual increases after that of 2% (rounded up to the nearest nickel).
- Students will know exactly how much they will pay.

Questions

Dylan Braam:
- When is the rounding up done?
- If it’s year over year and you round up every year, it will be more.

Cristina Ilnitchi:
- I’ll have to clarify.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- Over the years holding a U-Pass referendum was a great way to get people to vote.
- How will this affect voter turnout in the four years before the next agreement?

Marium Hamid:
- As amazing a tool as U-Pass has been for getting out the vote, it is first and foremost about affordable transportation for students.
• As to engaging students and voting, that will be something for next year’s Elections Administrator and management, but it’s not the issue now.

Gurshabad Singhera:
• What happened to make it a five-year deal?

Cristina Ilnitchi:
• Last year we began to think that we wanted something more secure, stable, long-term.
• In a two-year contract, you have to negotiate even before a year is gone.

35. MOVED CRISTINA ILNITCHI, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Whereas a long-term renewal has been secured for the U-Pass BC program until April 30, 2025;

Do you approve of the continuation of the U-Pass BC program with an increase to the U-Pass Fee from $41.00 per month to $42.50 per month commencing May 1, 2020, and, furthermore, approve annual increases to the U-Pass Fee of up to 2% (rounded up to the nearest nickel)?

Note: If this referendum is not approved, UBC students will no longer be eligible for the U-Pass BC program.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the U-Pass BC referendum question.”

Cristina Ilnitchi:
• Very excited for this referendum.
• It will give us five years of stability and affordability.

Dylan Braam:
• Why a whereas clause in the question?

Cristina Ilnitchi:
• We put the year 2025 in the whereas clause, not the question itself, so when the date comes we’re not forced to go to referendum if the ministry and TransLink are cool with continuing the 2%.

36. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED CHRIS HAKIM:

“That the motion be amended to make the whereas clause into a note.”

Bryan Starick:
• It makes the question clearer.

37. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED CHRIS HAKIM:

“That the motion be amended to make the whereas clause into a note.”
38. MOVED CRISTINA ILNITCHI, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you approve of the continuation of the U-Pass BC program with an increase to the U-Pass Fee from $41.00 per month to $42.50 per month commencing May 1, 2020, and, furthermore, approve annual increases to the U-Pass Fee of up to 2% (rounded up to the nearest nickel)?

Note: A long-term renewal has been secured for the U-Pass BC program until April 30, 2025;

Note: If this referendum is not approved, UBC students will no longer be eligible for the U-Pass BC program.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the U-Pass BC referendum question.”

… Carried


Bryan Starick:
- I would like to move a motion to reconsider to fix grammar issues.

The Speaker:
- If there are punctuation corrections, Council could agree to have the changes made afterwards.
- But if you wish, you can move a motion.

Daniel Lam took over as a proxy for Yash Gurnani.

I) Consultation Period

J) Appointments

K) Minutes of Council and Committees of Council:

39. MOVED CHRIS HAKIM, SECONDED DARREN TOUCH:

“That the following minutes be accepted as presented:

Student Life Committee minutes dated November 1, 2018 [SCD237-19]
Student Life Committee minutes dated November 13, 2018 [SCD238-19]
Student Life Committee minutes dated November 29, 2018 [SCD239-19]
Bryan Starick:
  • There are missing movers, seconders, and results.

Jakob Gattinger:
  • It’s concerning to me that no one else seemed to notice this.
  • You should not approve minutes like that.

Abdul Alnaar:
  • The Council Orientation working group is looking at this: there is a point of training this summer on how to write minutes.

Jakob Gattinger:
  • Councillors should read the supporting documents.

40. MOVED JEROME GODDARD, SECONDED CHRIS HAKIM:

  “That these minutes be tabled until the next meeting.”

Jerome Goddard:
  • I will revise these minutes.

41. MOVED JEROME GODDARD, SECONDED CHRIS HAKIM:

  “That these minutes be tabled until the next meeting.”

      ... No objections

L) Executive Committee Motions:

42. MOVED MARIUM HAMID:

  From the President
  “That Council authorize the use of the Student Services to promote the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund referendum question being held March 4 - 8, 2019 and to support the Yes campaign.”

43. MOVED MARIUM HAMID, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

  “That this motion be laid on the table until after the main SASC motion.”

      ... No objections

  Five-minute recess at 8:38 pm.

44. MOVED JOELLA ALLEN, SECONDED COLE EVANS:

  From the Executive Committee and the Finance Committee
  “Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

  Be it further resolved that the referendum question read as follows:
I support an increase in my AMS fee of $5.67, to increase the fee for the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund from $3.63 to $9.30 a year, as of September 2019.

Note 1: All money raised through this fee will be deposited in the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund and may be used only for sexual assault support services and initiatives. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for sexual assault support services.

Note 2: The $9.30 fee will be indexed to inflation, increasing each year in accordance with any increase in BC CPI, beginning in September 2020.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund referendum question."

Marium Hamid:
- This is of momentous importance.
- The number has been thought out by the Finance Committee, staff, the Executive Committee, and feedback from the student body.
- The $9.30 fee will keep what we have and allow us to expand.

45. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED TYRA PHILIPS

“That the motion be amended to change the question to say ‘Do you support an increase in your AMS fee’ instead of ‘I support an increase in my AMS fee’.”

… No objections

46. MOVED JOELLA ALLEN, SECONDED COLE EVANS:

From the Executive Committee and the Finance Committee

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Be it further resolved that the referendum question read as follows:

Do you support an increase in your AMS fee of $5.67, to increase the fee for the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund from $3.63 to $9.30 a year, as of September 2019.

Note 1: All money raised through this fee will be deposited in the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund and may be used only for sexual assault support services and initiatives. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for sexual assault support services.

Note 2: The $9.30 fee will be indexed to inflation, increasing each year in accordance with any increase in BC CPI, beginning in September 2020.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund referendum question.”

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- Thanks to all who worked on this.
- This motion gives us an additional $40,000 beyond what we need now.
• I encourage everyone to support this.

Bryan Starick:
• Should the CPI note be in the question itself?

Abdul Alnaar:
• We have done it this way before.

Dylan Braam:
• What is the AMS contingency plan if this referendum is not successful?

Marium Hamid:
• We’ve discussed this several times.
• Voting No will have an impact: the ways that SASC would have to change is not something we can model out now.
• It would be a large amount to take from the general fee.
• It would raise questions about what services to prioritize.
• We’d have to find out what the community would like to see.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
• In recent years the rest of the budget has covered this, but that is not sustainable.
• We’ve had discussions, but the only thing to do really is to make sure we work hard so that this passes.

47. MOVED JOELLA ALLEN, SECONDED COLE EVANS:

From the Executive Committee and the Finance Committee
“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Be it further resolved that the referendum question read as follows:

Do you support an increase in your AMS fee of $5.67, to increase the fee for the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund from $3.63 to $9.30 a year, as of September 2019.

Note 1: All money raised through this fee will be deposited in the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund and may be used only for sexual assault support services and initiatives. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for sexual assault support services.

Note 2: The $9.30 fee will be indexed to inflation, increasing each year in accordance with any increase in BC CPI, beginning in September 2020.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund referendum question.”

For (30): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Oliver Frayne, Ian Gilbert (proxy for Gareth Clegg), Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Chris Gooding, Daniel Lam
(proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Tyra Philips (proxy for Kate Burnham), Jon Kew, Ada Mew, Nevena Rebic, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Darren Touch, Riley Ty, Andy Wu, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang

Abstained: Christina Wiesmann

48. MOVED MARIUM HAMID, SECONDED COLE EVANS:

“That the Student Services motion be brought back from the table.”

49. MOVED MARIUM HAMID, SECONDED CHRIS HAKIM:

From the President

“That Council authorize the use of the Student Services to promote the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund referendum question being held March 4 - 8, 2019 and to support the Yes campaign.”

Marium Hamid:

- This will authorize the use of the Student Services to support the SASC referendum.
- SASC has a strong outreach/advocacy team and allies.
- They want to get involved to educate people on why this vote matters.
- Services traditionally don’t get involved or take a side, but because this directly affects SASC, it makes sense to do so this time.

Kuol Akuechbeny:

- Only during the voting period?

Max Holmes:

- During the whole campaign period.

Abdul Alnaar:

- This won’t happen in one-on-one sessions at SASC.
- It’s the outreach workers from SASC who will be involved.

50. MOVED MARIUM HAMID, SECONDED CHRIS HAKIM:

From the President

“That Council authorize the use of the Student Services to promote the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund referendum question being held March 4 - 8, 2019 and to support the Yes campaign.”

... Carried

For (30): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Cole Evans, Oliver Frayne, Ian Gilbert (proxy for Gareth Clegg), Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Chris Gooding, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Tyra Philips (proxy for Kate Burnham), Jon Kew, Ada Mew, Nevena Rebic, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Darren Touch, Riley Ty, Christina Wiesmann, Andy Wu, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang
51. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED JOELLA ALLEN:

“That the Grad Class Council and U-Pass questions be reconsidered.”

Bryan Starick:
- There are grammatical and editorial issues that we should fix.
- These must be passed as a resolution by Council.

52. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED JOELLA ALLEN:

“That the Grad Class Council and U-Pass questions be reconsidered.”

... No objections

53. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED BRYAN STARICK:

“Be it resolved that in accordance with Bylaw 4(1)(a), AMS Council direct the President to call a referendum to lower AMS Grad Class fees.

Be it further resolved that the referendum question read as follows:

Do you support a decrease in the Graduating Class fee from the current $7.00 to $3.00 upon graduation, to begin in September 2019?

Note 1: All money raised through the Grad Class Fee will be deposited in the Grad Class Fund and may be used only for the purpose of supporting Grad Class as defined in the AMS Code of Procedure. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for Grad Class.”

54. MOVED DANIEL LAM, SECONDED BRYAN STARICK:

“That a question mark be added at the end of the question.”

... No objections

55. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED BRYAN STARICK:

“Be it resolved that in accordance with Bylaw 4(1)(a), AMS Council direct the President to call a referendum to lower AMS Grad Class fees.

Be it further resolved that the referendum question read as follows:

Do you support a decrease in the Graduating Class fee from the current $7.00 to $3.00 upon graduation, to begin in September 2019?

Note 1: All money raised through the Grad Class Fee will be deposited in the Grad Class Fund and may be used only for the purpose of supporting Grad Class as defined in the AMS Code of Procedure. Any money raised through this fee but not used in a given year shall remain in the fund for use in a subsequent year for Grad Class.”

... Carried
56. MOVED CRISTINA ILNITCHI, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you approve of the continuation of the U-Pass BC program with an increase to the U-Pass Fee from $41.00 per month to $42.50 per month commencing May 1, 2020, and, furthermore, approve annual increases to the U-Pass Fee of up to 2% (rounded up to the nearest nickel)?

Note 1: A long-term renewal has been secured for the U-Pass BC program until April 30, 2025.

Note 2: If this referendum is not approved, UBC students will no longer be eligible for the U-Pass BC program.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the U-Pass BC referendum question.”

57. MOVED KEVIN ZHANG, SECONDED DYLAN BRAAM:

“That the U-Pass question be amended to number the notes and change the semi-colon to a period at the end of the first note.”

... No objections

58. MOVED CRISTINA ILNITCHI, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you approve of the continuation of the U-Pass BC program with an increase to the U-Pass Fee from $41.00 per month to $42.50 per month commencing May 1, 2020, and, furthermore, approve annual increases to the U-Pass Fee of up to 2% (rounded up to the nearest nickel)?

Note 1: A long-term renewal has been secured for the U-Pass BC program until April 30, 2025.

Note 2: If this referendum is not approved, UBC students will no longer be eligible for the U-Pass BC program.

Be it further resolved that Council adopt a Yes position in support of the U-Pass BC referendum question.”

... Carried

For (25): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Oliver Frayne, Ian Gilbert (proxy for
M) Constituency and Affiliate Motions:

N) Committee Motions:

59. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED DANIEL LAM: [SCD240-19]

From the Governance Committee

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you support and approve amending the AMS Bylaws in accordance with the changes presented in the document entitled Proposed Changes to AMS Bylaws?

Be it further resolved that Council endorse a Yes position in support of the proposed changes.”

Dylan Braam:
- The Governance Committee started working on this in October.
- We sent a report on all the changes, plus a summary.
- Here is a description of the changes:
  - Changing the definition of membership so that students remain members as long as they were registered in November, in other words at the end of the first semester.
  - Notice for the AGM must include the agenda.
  - Since we no longer own the Ubyssey and can’t compel it to publish our announcements, we propose removing the requirement to announce AGM’s in the Ubyssey.
  - Since we’re proposing getting rid of Student Court, and one thing Student Court does that can’t be done by Council is rewrite unclear referendum questions, we’ve created a list of requirements referendum questions must meet, and Council may reject a referendum question if it doesn’t meet the requirements, the requirements being:
    - The question must be in a form that can be answered Yes or No. (This is the same as before, but we are specifying that the Yes side must be the one to create change.)
    - The question must be clear and unambiguous.
    - The question must not be predicated on materially untrue statements.
    - The question must not require the AMS to do anything prohibited by law.
    - The question must indicate if it would break a contract and what the penalties will be for breaking that contract.
  - Records of past successful referendum questions will be kept as an appendix to the Bylaws (it’s often hard to find these, and it’s good to have them available because they remain in effect).
o Recalled Executives won’t be able to run to fill the vacancy created by their recall: since the students will have just voted to remove someone, we may not want to let that person use money from the AMS budget to run again.

o Temporarily filling an Executive vacancy: Council will now be able to name any student, e.g., an AVP or a former VP, and not just a current Councillor.

o Ombudsperson: removing their responsibility to represent students in issues with the University. No longer needed because the University now has its own Ombudsoffice.

o Confusing language fixed concerning the submission of Subsidiary budgets.

o Records: I like transparency, but the current Bylaw is so vague that a lot of documents can been and perhaps shouldn’t. The proposed new Bylaw would allow for a system to restrict access to types of documents that might be harmful to us or a third party or might violate solicitor-client privilege.

o Student Court: Not used since 2010 or 2015. Could be overruled by Council anyway. We don’t use it. We don’t like it when we do use it. It’s hard to pick qualified judges.

Kate Burnham arrived, replacing her proxy Tyra Phillips.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- I would like to propose three amendments.

Bryan Starick:
- Can we make amendments to the documents?

Discussion ensued on this point without a final decision.

Jerome Goddard:
- What’s your plan to pass these?

Dylan Braam:
- I think we need to mount a vigorous campaign.
- Last year my understanding was that not much was said about the proposed Bylaw changes and we didn’t make quorum.
- We need to campaign.

Chris Hakim:
- Last year we did provide a lot of notice.
- We first submitted to Council in November.
- Later we came back before going to ballot.

Kevin Zhang:
- Concerns about Recommendation 13: it seems to give a lot of leeway to Council to classify documents as harmful.
- And we don’t have to worry about attorney-client privilege, because that’s already protected by law.
Dylan Braam:
- It’s protected unless you waive it, as our current Bylaws do.
- As to leeway, we will need a policy.
- Hopefully Council will not be dumb about it and produce a PR nightmare.

Andy Wu:
- Question about Council choosing an interim Councillor.

Dylan Braam:
- The Bylaw change is only for choosing interim executives, not Councillors.

Andy Wu:
- But under the current Bylaws Council can appoint someone as a Councillor, and then they could fill the vacant Executive position.

Sheldon Goldfarb:
- Yes, that can be done.
- It was done with Ava Nasiri, who was appointed as a non-voting Councillor so she could be named as interim VP Administration in 2014.
- That was the workaround that this Bylaw change would make unnecessary.

60. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

“That this motion be laid on the table.”

... No objections

61. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED JENNIFER CHENG:

“That time for the meeting be extended by one hour.”

... No objections

62. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED RILEY TY

“That the bylaw referendum question be brought back from the table.”

... No objections

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- Recommendation 13 should be handled separately.
- I would like to put the burden on the Executive and Council to say a specific document should not be seen.

63. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY:

“That the bylaw package be amended.”

Dylan Braam:
- This idea comes from a good place: we need safeguards and transparency.
- But I don’t think this approach (of having Council and the Executive evaluate specific documents) will be effective.
- It could lead to arbitrary decisions.
- It's better to have a clear policy rather than a system of case-by-case decisions.
- Probably a policy with an appeal process.
- Case by case means ad hoc policy-making.

Marium Hamid:
- I agree with Dylan.
- This would mean something fairly random.
- We need a policy.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- These arguments make sense.
- I withdraw my motion to amend.

64. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“That Recommendation 13 (i.e., Bylaw 18) be struck.”

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- The other good things done by the amendments may be jeopardized by this recommendation about records.
- And if there's going to be a policy, we should see the policy first.

Dylan Braam:
- We discussed this because we felt there was a concern.
- Removing this is inappropriate.
- If Council does not agree to all the reasons for restricting documents, we could remove some.

Bryan Starick:
- Point of order: I am still thinking we are not allowed to amend documents.

The Speaker ruled that it was fine to amend documents.

Chris Hakim:
- I oppose removing Bylaw 18, especially the part about disrupting an investigation.
- Often privacy is an issue in an investigation.

Max Holmes:
- I don't think there has been proper consultation on this proposal.
- As to privacy concerns, we already can't reveal personal information in an investigation.
- We don't have enough information to make an informed decision.

Cole Evans:
- If we don't make this change, we could end up releasing information harmful to us.
- Could a chain restaurant have a student employee ask for our confidential business records and see them?
Dylan Braam:
- Yes.

KuoL Akuechbeny
- Privacy concerns are already protected in the current Bylaw.
- Aside from that, our finances should be as open as possible.

Ada Mew:
- It is very difficult to estimate how our constituents will take this.

Dylan Braam:
- If this passes, what are the consequences?
- It will mean we have authorized Council to pass a policy.
- We can consult once we’re working on the policy.

Andy Wu:
- Policy doesn’t have the same rigidity as a bylaw.
- This bylaw proposal would allow a very expansive approach.
- I’m not seeing any safeguards.
- If I was a voter, I would vote against it.

Bryan Starick:
- Although we are all here to represent constituents, our fiduciary duty is first and foremost to defend the Society.
- It may seem bad to restrict access, but it’s important.

65. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED DANIEL LAM, THIRDED GURSHABAD SINGHERA:

“That the meeting enter an in camera session.”

The meeting entered an in camera session at 10:45 pm. The meeting came out of camera at 11 pm.

66. MOVED JOELLA ALLEN, SECONDED COLE EVANS:

“That the current motion be tabled so we can extend time.”

… No objections

67. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“That time for the meeting be extended for one hour, until 12:02 am

… No objections

68. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

“That the previous motion be brought back to the table.”

… No objections
69. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“That Recommendation 13 (i.e., Bylaw 18) be struck.”

70. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED COLE EVANS:

“That the question be called.”

Note: Requires 2/3rds

... Carried

For (17): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Marium Hamid, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Ada Mew, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang

Against (2): Kuol Akuechbeny, Andy Wu

71. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“That Recommendation 13 (i.e., Bylaw 18) be struck.”

... Defeated

For (2): Kuol Akuechbeny, Andy Wu

Against (20): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Kevin Zhang

Abstained: Arash Shadkam

72. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

From the Governance Committee

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you support and approve amending the AMS Bylaws in accordance with the changes presented in the document entitled Proposed Changes to AMS Bylaws?

Be it further resolved that Council endorse a Yes position in support of the proposed changes.”

Max Holmes:

• I feel it’s our fiduciary duty to send this to the student body, even though I’m opposed to some parts.
• I support some parts, but other parts have not been consulted on.
73. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

“That the motion be amended to consider Bylaw 18 separately.”

Bryan Starick:
- Will this create a second referendum question?

The Speaker said that it would.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- We have a fiduciary duty but also a responsibility to students.
- The Student Court bylaw change has been worked on; that’s good.
- This part (about records) could make the package difficult to pass.

Dylan Braam:
- In the best interests of the society, this should be part of the package and not separate.

Cole Evans:
- Better not to have more than one bylaw question on the ballot.
- It could be confusing.
- We should trust the students.

Joella Allen:
- The context of having this change in the package makes it part of cleaning up the bylaws.
- On its own it will look different, suspicious, as if we’re trying to hide something.
- It is clearing up a liability the Governance Committee found in the bylaws.

Marium Hamid:
- Every time we add a question it hurts the other questions.
- Splitting this is not going to help.

Jakob Gattinger:
- Dylan’s report did say a bylaw cleanup is going on.
- Also this is needed, but after this question I suggest we end the meeting and return in a couple of days.
- I suggest the President call an emergency meeting to complete the agenda.

74. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED JON KIM:

“That the question be called on the motion to consider Bylaw 18 separately.”

Note: Requires 2/3rds

For (18): Joella Allen, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Ada Mew, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Andy Wu, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang
Against (1): Kuol Akuechbeny

75. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

“That the motion be amended to consider Bylaw 18 separately.”

... Defeated

For (3): Kuol Akuechbeny, Ada Mew, Kevin Zhang

Against (18): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Anican Yu

Abstained: Arash Shadkam

76. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

From the Governance Committee

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you support and approve amending the AMS Bylaws in accordance with the changes presented in the document entitled Proposed Changes to AMS Bylaws?

Be it further resolved that Council endorse a Yes position in support of the proposed changes.”

Max Holmes:
• Although I think there were some flaws in consultation (more than just saying “we’re doing bylaws”), I do support most of these changes, and we have a fiduciary duty to pass some of this.
• This should ultimately go to the student body to decide.

Cristina Ilnitchi:
• I wish we could have a more robust discussion, but there are some glaring gaps that need to be fixed in the Bylaws.

Dylan Braam:
• I should have done better on the consultation.
• A lot of things were just supposed to be a clean-up: Student Court, typos, eligibility of recalled executives.
• I do apologize if the project grew in scope.

Jon Kim:
• A referendum is the highest form of consultation we can take.
77. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED JAKOB GATTINGER:

“That the motion be amended by changing the date on Recommendation 12 and Bylaw 13(9) from April 1 to June 30.”

Dylan Braam:
- I am told this is the date that works best for subsidiaries to submit budgets.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- Yes, it should be in the last week of June.

78. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED JAKOB GATTINGER:

“That the motion be amended by changing the date on Recommendation 12 and Bylaw 13(9) from April 1 to June 30.”

… No objections

79. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED RILEY TY:

“That the Summary be changed to reflect the change to June 30.”

… No objections

80. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED DANIEL LAM: [SCD240A-19]

From the Governance Committee

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you support and approve amending the AMS Bylaws in accordance with the changes presented in the document entitled Proposed Changes to AMS Bylaws [as amended]?

Be it further resolved that Council endorse a Yes position in support of the proposed changes.”

81. MOVED ANDY WU, SECONDED ADA MEW:

“That the motion be amended to add the following to Bylaw 18:

1) Said policy should not allow documents being blocked in their entirety when Council determines that partial redactions would be sufficient to protect said interests.
2) Said policy should mandate that Council be informed of the blockage of records from public access before or during the most immediately ensuing Council meeting.”

Andy Wu:
- Trying to put more restrictions on the bylaw amendment to force very specific limits on how these records can be blocked.

Joella Allen:
- The first clause is good, but the second one means we’ll be notifying people in an open forum that people can’t access something: it makes things seem suspicious.
Also this is restricting the policy we have not yet written.
This need not be in the bylaw; it could be in the policy.

Chris Hakim:
- Part One is good, but Part Two raises concerns: it wouldn’t look good if we happen to have an ongoing investigation and we can’t talk about that.

Jon Kim:
- The only people who should be consulted should be legal advisors, not Council.
- We don’t want to discuss every single redaction at Council.

Andy Wu:
- I understand the concerns.
- I did not mean the notification to Council would be public.
- We could discuss in camera.
- We as Councillors sign confidentiality agreements and can be trusted.

82. MOVED BRYAN STARICK:

“That the two clauses be split.”

… Failed for lack of seconder

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- Thanks for this solution.
- It addresses concerns about management or the Executive hiding materials.

Joella Allen:
- If we circulate this list of documents to be blocked prior to Council, the distribution list includes the Ubyssey.
- Currently, confidential documents, such as pending contracts, can be viewed prior to a Council meeting by going to the AMS office.
- Distributing electronically is not secure, but requiring us all to go to the office is not the most accessible option.
- That doesn’t necessarily work for people
- I’m not convinced that this is pertinent to the Bylaw amendment; it belongs in the policy.

83. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED BRYAN STARICK:

“That the question be called.”

Note: Requires 2/3rds

… Carried

For (16): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick

Against (3): Kuol Akuechbeny, Andy Wu, Kevin Zhang
84. MOVED ANDY WU, SECONDED ADA MEW:

“That the motion be amended to add the following to Bylaw 18:

1) Said policy should not allow documents being blocked in their entirety when Council determines that partial redactions would be sufficient to protect said interests.
2) Said policy should mandate that Council be informed of the blockage of records from public access before or during the most immediately ensuing Council meeting.”

…. Defeated

For (3): Kuol Akuechbeny, Arash Shadkam, Andy Wu

Against (14): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Chris Hakim, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Anican Yu

Abstained: Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Kevin Zhang

85. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

From the Governance Committee

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you support and approve amending the AMS Bylaws in accordance with the changes presented in the document entitled Proposed Changes to AMS Bylaws [as amended]?

Be it further resolved that Council endorse a Yes position in support of the proposed changes.”

86. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED MAX HOLMES:

“That debate be limited so there is no more discussion of Bylaw 18.”

Bryan Starick:
- We have had thorough debate on this part.

Andy Wu:
- When I presented my amendments, I was open to other amendments.
- I saw quite a lot of support for my first clause; it’s a shame we didn’t split the two clauses.
- With all the call to questions and limiting debate I feel we have limited the discussion on this.
- I am disappointed.

Bryan Starick:
- I was very much for the first clause and proposed the split, but it didn’t get seconded.
Dylan Braam:
- I was hoping for wide-ranging debate, but we spent two hours on one single change.
- It was clear that there were just three or four voices trying to keep us on that one issue.
- I think that was disrespectful of this Council’s time, and irresponsible.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- I don’t think it was irresponsible.
- This is democracy.
- That’s why we’re here: to express our opinions.

Jon Kim:
- Speaking is a big part of democracy.
- The other part of democracy is voting.

87. MOVED JON KIM, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

“That the question be called.”

Note: Requires 2/3rds

... Carried

For (18): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Anican Yu

Against (4): Kuol Akuechbeny, Chris Hakim, Andy Wu, Kevin Zhang

88. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED MAX HOLMES:

“That debate be limited so there is no more discussion of Bylaw 18.”

Note: Requires 2/3rds

... Carried

For (18): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Anican Yu

Against (2): Kuol Akuechbeny, Kevin Zhang

Abstained: Chris Hakim, Andy Wu

89. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

[SCD240A-19]

From the Governance Committee

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:
Do you support and approve amending the AMS Bylaws in accordance with the changes presented in the document entitled Proposed Changes to AMS Bylaws [as amended]?

Be it further resolved that Council endorse a Yes position in support of the proposed changes.”

Dylan Braam:
- I don’t think that we have exhausted debate on the other changes.
- We brought 13 changes.
- Some Councillors have approached me about being too tired to discuss other changes they wanted to discuss.
- I continue to invite more discussion or changes.

Arash Shadkam:
- Re the Ombuds bylaw change:
- Are we taking this service away from students and directing them to UBC?
- Isn’t this like what we did with SASC, planning to refer people to UBC’s SVPRO?

Max Holmes:
- When we created our ombudsperson, there was no University Ombudsperson.
- We do still have the AMS Advocacy Office for representing students on issues with the University.
- Our Ombudsperson doesn’t handle issues with the University anymore.

90. MOVED JOELLA ALLEN, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“That the motion be tabled.”

... No objections

91. MOVED JOELLA ALLEN, SECONDED CHRIS HAKIM:

“That the time for the meeting be extended by 30 minutes."

... Carried

For (21): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Andy Wu, Kevin Zhang

Against (1): Matthew Epstein

92. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED COLE EVANS:

“That the motion be brought back from the table.”

... No objections
93. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED GURSHABAD SINGHERA:

“That the question be called.”

Note: Requires 2/3rds

... Defeated

For (10): Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Marium Hamid, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Ada Mew, Riley Ty

Against (7): Dylan Braam, Chris Hakim, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Arash Shadkam, Andy Wu, Anican Yu

Abstained: Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Jennifer Cheng, Kate Burnham, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Kevin Zhang

Max Holmes:
- We need to take a closer look at how we define what a member is.

94. MOVED DYLAN BRAAM, SECONDED DANIEL LAM: [SCD240A-19]

From the Governance Committee

“Be it resolved that Council, in accordance with Bylaw 4, direct the President to call a referendum to be held March 4-8 on the following question:

Do you support and approve amending the AMS Bylaws in accordance with the changes presented in the document entitled Proposed Changes to AMS Bylaws [as amended]?

Be it further resolved that Council endorse a Yes position in support of the proposed changes.”

... Carried

For (20): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Matthew Epstein, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Riley Ty, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang

Against (2): Kuol Akuechbeny, Andy Wu

95. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED JON KIM:

From the VP Academic & University Affairs

“Be it resolved that Council endorse the implementation of a week-long Fall Reading Break and an Extended Holiday Break for the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 academic years by shortening the examination period to 14 consecutive examinable days.

Be it further resolved that Council endorse the creation of a UBC Vancouver Senate working group to explore the academic scheduling options that would make a full Fall
Reading Week sustainable for every year and consult with UBC Students, Faculty, and Staff on these options.”

Max Holmes:
- This is an important issue, but it’s after midnight.
- This was the largest consultation we have ever done, but I recognize flaws in the process.
- I want to recognize the thoughtfulness of the comments from the EUS in their submission.
- My office supports this motion: There was high engagement and significant support of the things we are proposing.
- Council may not be in a position to have a fulsome discussion on this after the fulsome discussion on the Bylaws.
- Council could choose to discuss this further next week at a special Council meeting, though it would be difficult for me to attend then.
- The report could go to the Student Senate Caucus without us taking a stance.
- If we wait till the next regular Council meeting, that will be after the Senate Academic Policy Committee meets: not sure how much impact we will have at that point.
- If we don’t vote on this, because we’re unable to make a decision, the AMS would stay neutral on the issue.

Jon Kim:
- Does this mean we have to decide now or wait till next year?

Max Holmes:
- Council could express an opinion at its February 27 meeting, but at that point I don’t think we would be able to influence the process.

Jon Kim:
- So the answer is yes.

Max Holmes:
- In a way.

Bryan Starick:
- A big thank-you to Max for his response to our letter (from the Engineers).
- Very impressed that we got such a quick response.
- I like the be it further resolved clause (endorsing a working group to explore options).

Arash Shadkam:
- Thank you for all the consultation.
- I thought we were going to get the results of the survey.
- I have no idea what grad students said. Or Engineering students. Or Arts students.

Max Holmes:
- Within the report there is a breakdown by faculty.
- It isn’t the final report: hoping to do that on Monday.
- Going to include as much information as we can on the data.
• Happy to share that with Council.
• We will identify the themes in the comments.

Dylan Braam:
• Pretty good work.
• Still have questions about bias, but it’s clear there is some sort of approval.
• Do you feel that the lack of an AMS Council endorsement will damage the possibility of introducing a break?
• Also I am confused: the motion talks of a week-long break, but that’s not what the report is about.

Max Holmes:
• On the first question, No:
• I don’t think whether the AMS formally endorses the break will be a major factor.
• The survey results can go to the Student Senate Caucus.
• The consultation was the main thing.
• On the question about confusion, we presented the worst case option in the report, but the motion is endorsing a full week as a pilot to see the value it gives people
• A significant comment in the survey was: why are we not pushing for a full week?
• There should be a working group on this: the Senate will probably create one.

Kate Burnham:
• One problem in the report is its talk of extending exams till December 23.
• That will cause problems.
• Earlier it was going to be December 22.
• Flight prices are astronomical after December 23.

Max Holmes:
• That was a mistake in the report. It should say the 22nd.
• We are also watching the discussion on introducing a new Reconciliation Day holiday and how that will affect the issue of maintaining the number of teaching days.

Ada Mew:
• What about the issue of multiple exams in 36 hours?

Max Holmes:
• The policy around exam hardship will still hold.

Ada Mew:
• In the survey there was a question about exams on weekends, but that’s not in the draft report.

Max Holmes:
• The consultation clearly indicated the possibility of Sunday exams.

Bryan Starick:
• There are questions on the first clause.
• I think we should approve the second clause.
96. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED KEVIN ZHANG:

“That the motion be tabled.”

… No objections

97. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED GURSHABAD SINGHERA:

“That the meeting be extended by half an hour.”

… No objections

98. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED GURSHABAD SINGHERA:

“That the motion be brought back from the table.”

… No objections

99. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED ARASH SHADKAM:

“That the motion be divided.”

… No objections

100. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED JON KIM:

**From the VP Academic & University Affairs**

“Be it resolved that Council endorse the creation of a UBC Vancouver Senate working group to explore the academic scheduling options that would make a full Fall Reading Week sustainable for every year and consult with UBC Students, Faculty, and Staff on these options.”

Bryan Starick:

- This is a great thing.
- Let’s vote on it so Senate has an endorsement and knows the AMS opinion on it.

101. MOVED JON KIM, SECONDED JEROME GODDARD:

“That the question be called.”

Note: Requires 2/3rd

… Defeated

**For (7): Kuol Akuechbeny, Jennifer Cheng, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Ada Mew**

**Against (9): Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jerome Goddard, Chris Hakim, Cristina Ilnitchi, Kate Burnham, Arash Shadkam, Riley Ty, Anican Yu**

**Abstained: Max Holmes, Bryan Starick, Kevin Zhang**

Max Holmes:

- It’s important to express something, and this is a productive thing, something that Senate may listen to, especially if there are several stakeholders in support of this.
102. MOVED KATE BURNHAM, SECONDED COLE EVANS:

“That the motion be amended to add the phrase ‘and Extended Holiday Break’.”

... No objections

103. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED JON KIM:

From the VP Academic & University Affairs
“Be it resolved that Council endorse the creation of a UBC Vancouver Senate working group to explore the academic scheduling options that would make a full Fall Reading Week and Extended Holiday Break sustainable for every year and consult with UBC Students, Faculty, and Staff on these options.”

Jon Kim:
- The report will be released?

Max Holmes:
- Yes.

Jon Kim:
- Isn’t that itself an endorsement?

Max Holmes:
- That’s a matter of interpretation.

Ada Mew:
- Different faculties differ.
- Need more brains to look into this.

Max Holmes:
- Senate says this needs more consultation.
- The more people you can bring together, the better the chance of success.

104. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED JON KIM:

From the VP Academic & University Affairs
“Be it resolved that Council endorse the creation of a UBC Vancouver Senate working group to explore the academic scheduling options that would make a full Fall Reading Week and Extended Holiday Break sustainable for every year and consult with UBC Students, Faculty, and Staff on these options.”

... Carried

105. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED JON KIM:

From the VP Academic & University Affairs
“Be it resolved that Council endorse the implementation of a week-long Fall Reading Break and an Extended Holiday Break for the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 academic years by shortening the examination period to 14 consecutive examinable days.”

Max Holmes:
- I am in support of this motion but not without discussion.

106. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

“That the meeting be adjourned.”

Bryan Starick:
- I’m done and want to go home.
- We can discuss this later.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- I am against this as there is a Finance Committee motion that needs to be passed.

Chris Hakim:
- I would like to discuss the Campus Vibe motion at this meeting.

107. MOVED BRYAN STARICK, SECONDED DANIEL LAM:

“That the meeting be adjourned.”

Against (19): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Cole Evans, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Arash Shadkam, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Andy Wu, Anican Yu

Abstained: Tanner Gjosund, Kevin Zhang

108. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED KUOL AKUECHBENY:

“That the motion on endorsing the Fall Reading Break be postponed indefinitely.”

For (18): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Tanner Gjosund, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang

Against (5): Dylan Braam, Cole Evans, Jerome Goddard, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Andy Wu
109. MOVED MAX HOLMES, SECONDED JON KIM:

**From the VP Academic & University Affairs**

“Be it resolved that Council endorse the implementation of a week-long Fall Reading Break and an Extended Holiday Break for the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 academic years by shortening the examination period to 14 consecutive examinable days.”

… Postponed indefinitely

110. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED JEROME GODDARD: [SCD241-19]

**From the Finance Committee**

“That Council approve funding from the contingency budget for the purpose of funding the first year payment for Campus Vibe’s two-year contract, and that the second year payment be budgeted for in the 2019/20 Fiscal Year (excluding the Funds and Grants Optional Package expenditures).”

Note: The balance of the Contingency Fund is $127,388.79.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- We brought this to the last meeting, seeking to fund it from the Capital Projects Fund.
- Council asked if we could fund it from the Contingency instead.
- So now I’d like Council to approve it from the Contingency for the first year.
- And next year it will be budgeted for.

Dylan Braam:
- I like that Chris and Kuol brought this back.
- It’s an important contract to sign.

Riley Ty:
- I don’t have concerns about the motion, but I have concerns about the platform.
- Clubs like Campus Vibe, we were told last time, but there was a Ubyssey article suggesting otherwise.
- I’ve spoken to some clubs, and they find it slow.

Chris Hakim:
- I appreciate the feedback.
- We’re looking at the platform, removing anything confusing.
- We want clubs to think there is an efficient platform.

Kuol Akuechbeny:
- We use Campus Vibe for many things.
- This is the best alternative we know of and it’s cheaper than the previous platform we used.

111. MOVED KUOL AKUECHBENY, SECONDED JEROME GODDARD:

**From the Finance Committee**

“That Council approve funding from the contingency budget for the purpose of funding the first year payment for Campus Vibe’s two-year contract, and that the second year
payment be budgeted for in the 2019/20 Fiscal Year (excluding the Funds and Grants Optional Package expenditures)."

Note: The balance of the Contingency Fund is $127,388.79.

...Carried

For (19): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Riley Ty, Andy Wu, Anican Yu

Abstained: Cole Evans, Ada Mew, Kevin Zhang

112. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED JENNIFER CHENG:

From the Human Resources Committee

“That Council suspend Section VII: Advisory Board, Article 9(2) from the Code of Procedure, effective immediately and lasting until April 30, 2019.”

Note: Requires 2/3rds

Cole Evans:
- We’re hashing out the final details for the Advisory Board.
- Haven’t been able to get it up and running 100%.
- It’s going to be impossible for them to make a report as required by Code by February 28.
- So we’re suspending Code till April 30 so they can send a quarterly in May.

113. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED JENNIFER CHENG:

From the Human Resources Committee

“That Council suspend Section VII: Advisory Board, Article 9(2) from the Code of Procedure, effective immediately and lasting until April 30, 2019.”

Note: Requires 2/3rds

... Carried

For (21): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu), Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Andy Wu, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang

O) Other Business and Notice of Motions:

P) President’s Remarks (5 minutes):

Q) Executive Remarks (3 minutes each):

R) Committee Reports (5 minutes each):
S) Report from Presidents Council (2 minutes):

T) Board of Governors, Senate, Ombudsperson & Historical Update Reports (2 minutes each):

Marium Hamid:
- The Executive will send written reports tomorrow morning.

U) Discussion Period

V) Adjournment:

114. MOVED COLE EVANS, SECONDED BRYAN STARICK:

“That the meeting be adjourned.”

... Carried

For (22): Kuol Akuechbeny, Joella Allen, Dylan Braam, Jennifer Cheng, Andria Coulbourn, Cole Evans, Tanner Gjosund, Jerome Goddard, Daniel Lam (proxy for Yash Gurnani), Chris Hakim, Marium Hamid, Max Holmes, Cristina Ilnitchi, Kate Burnham, Ada Mew, Arash Shadkam, Gurshabad Singhera, Bryan Starick, Riley Ty, Andy Wu, Anican Yu, Kevin Zhang

Against (1): Jon Kim (proxy for Jacqueline Wu)

The meeting adjourned at 12:55 pm.

W) Submissions:

CUS Election Results [SCD241-19]
HR Committee Dec/Jan report [SCD242-19]
AMS Funding Summary Reports [SCD243-19]
Fall Reading Break report [SCD244-19]

X) Next Meeting:

Next Meeting: February 27, 2019

Y) Social Activity: Pit Night
## February 6, 2019 Roster

The Alma Mater Society of U.B.C. Vancouver – Student Council Attendance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Constituency</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Proxy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kareem Ibrahim</td>
<td>Speaker of Council</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marium Hamid</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Holmes</td>
<td>VP Academic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Hakim</td>
<td>VP Administration</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cristina Ilitchi</td>
<td>VP External Affairs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuol Akurechbeny</td>
<td>VP Finance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piers Fleming</td>
<td>Student Services Mgr</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Frayne</td>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yash Gurnani</td>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andy Wu</td>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole Evans</td>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerome Goddard</td>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Zhang</td>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanie Malone</td>
<td>BoG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jakob Gattinger</td>
<td>BoG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan Zhou</td>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqueline Wu</td>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jon Kim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Gooding</td>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derek Bennett</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Burnham</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Tyra Phillips till partway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Starick</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Epstein</td>
<td>Forestry</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arash Shadkam</td>
<td>Graduate Studies</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darren Touch</td>
<td>Graduate Studies</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevena Rebic</td>
<td>Graduate Studies</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christina Wiesmann</td>
<td>Graduate Studies</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Au</td>
<td>Kinesiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andria Coulbourn</td>
<td>Land &amp; Food Systems</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dylan Braam</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joella Allen</td>
<td>Library/Archival</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Ling</td>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anican Yu</td>
<td>Music</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanner Gjouzd</td>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Ju</td>
<td>Ombudsperson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Kew</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada Mew</td>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gareth Clegg</td>
<td>Regent College</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ian Gilbert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Cheng</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurshabad Singhera</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley Ty</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Chan</td>
<td>Senate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote Records</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1: Please test your clickers!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2: Approval of the agenda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3: Consent Agenda Items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4: “BIRT the Finance Committee approves $75,751.76 in funding out of the Capital Projects Fund for the purpose of funding the implementation costs of Ceridian Dayforce.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5: BE IT RESOLVED THAT Isabelle Ava-Pointon and David Yang be appointed to the Elections Committee as Polling Officers until March 15th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6: 5. BIRT Council call a referendum on the Grad Class fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7: And BIFRT that Council endorse a Yes campaign in favor of this question.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V8: BE IT RESOLVED THAT the title of Elections Administrator be changed to Chief Electoral Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V9: Indigenous Committee Motion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V10: U Pass Motion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V11: SASC Fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V12: BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council authorize the use of the Student Services to promote the Sexual Assault Support Services Fund referendum question being held March 4 - 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V13: Amended Grad Class Referendum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V14: Amended U-Pass Referendum Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V15: Move In Camera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V16: Move In Camera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V17: Call To Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V18: Strike By Law 18 from the By Law Referendum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V19: Call To Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V20: Motion to Divide the Question Regarding By-Law Amendment Referendum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V21: Call To Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V22: Motion to Divide the Question Regarding By-Law Amendment Referendum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V23: Call To Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V24: Motion to Add the 2 Sub Clauses to By Law 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V25: Call To Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V26: Motion to Cease Debate on By Law 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V27: Motion to Extend Council Time by 30 Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V28: Call To Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V29: Call To Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V30: By Law Referendum Question
V31: Call To Question
V32: BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council endorse the creation of a UBC Vancouver Senate working group to explore extended Holiday Break sustainable for every year and consult with UBC Students
V33: Motion to Adjourn
V34: Motion to Postpone Item 13 Indefinitely
V35: BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve funding from the contingency budget for the purpose of funding the first year payment to Campus Vibe and the second year payment be budgeted in 2019/20 Fiscal Year (excluding the Funds and Grants Optiona

V36: BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council suspends Section VII: Advisory Board Article 9(2) from the Code effective immediately and lasting until April 30th 2019.
V37: Motion to adjourn
| Name               | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Name                | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N | A | N | Y | Y | Y |
| Coulbourn           | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N | A | N | Y | Y | Y |
| Dylan Braam         | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Joella Allen        | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Jennifer Ling       | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Tanner Gjosund      | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Jon Kew             | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Ada Mew             | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Ian Gilbert (proxy for Gareth Clegg) | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Oliver Frayne       | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Jennifer Cheng      | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Gurshabad           | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Singhera            | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | A | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Riley Ty            | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y |
| VST Vacant          | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y |
| Anican Yu           | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y |

*Daniel Lam voted as Yash Gurnani’s proxy beginning with Motion 11.

**Kate Burnham voted on her own behalf beginning with Motion 15.